

Bennington VT  
Received & Inspected VT 05201  
4-15-2013

FCC  
445 12<sup>th</sup> St SW  
Washington  
DC 20554

APR 22 2013

FCC Mail Room

Dear Sir,

Please do not drop current broadcast decency standards. I'm tired of looking at human body parts hanging out. I'm tired of seeing nudity and sexual poses. There is no beauty in nudity or profanity. Profanity has no meaning. Profanity and nudity don't portray or educate anything better or beautiful in this world. I'm very disappointed with TV in its poor programming content. I'm also disappointed in the cable companies business practices. I'm on a limited income and can't afford cable prices. A number of my family have decided to drop TV altogether. I only watch it for local news about 1 to 2 hours a day.

Please don't drop the ban on Broadcast decency standards!!

Thank You

Marie Howe

Received & inspected

APR 22 2013

FCC Mail Room

## **Open Letter to the FCC**

Dear Federal Communications Commission Director,

I have had enough of the excessive censorship in the media, whether it's on the TV, or the Radio! Many Americans just do not like their work being watered-down, and we enjoy the entertainment value of uncensored material, which you are unfairly blocking!

Your role should be very limited, go after the people who produce kiddy porn, or scenes of real, actual murder or rape!

You see, our society is backwards, because in England they censor violence, while they let curse-words and nudity slide. But, what do we do? We let violent content air on TV, while we block harmless little curse-words and simple nude bodies, that won't blind you afterall!

Thank you for your time. Please think this over in a consideral manner. Think long and hard, and try watching TV and see how watered-down it is.

Sincerely,

Joseph D. Smith

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
445 12 TH STREET SW  
WASHINGTON, DC 20554

Received & Inspected

APR 22 2013

FCC Mail Room

IN RESPONSE TO INFORMATION CONCERNING A BAN, ON THE F-WORD  
NUDITY ON TELEVISION AND RAUNCHY LANGUAGE ON TV AND NATIONWIDE  
RADIO STATIONS, BEING DROPPED, I WOULD LIKE TO ASK YOU TO  
CONSIDER THESE THOUGHTS. WE ARE A NATION THAT HAS TRIED TO HE  
OTHER COUNTRIES BE LIKE US OR WHO HAVE WANTED TO BE LIKE US  
BUT WE NEED TO BE A PEOPLE OF DECENCY AND INTEGRITY. ADULTS ARE  
THE TEACHERS/LEADERS IN SHOWING OUR YOUNGER GENERATIONS HOW  
TO LIVE LIFE WELL. LET US NOT LOWER BUT RAISE THE STANDARDS OF  
THIS GREAT NATION. THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME IN READING MY LETTER

Dianne Valente-Callin

April 13, 2013

Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th St., S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Received & Inspected  
APR 22 2013  
FCC Mail Room

Gentlemen:

In reference to GN Docket No. 13-86, I would like to request, as a mother of four children, that you not loosen broadcast standards to permit more nudity and profanity on television and radio.

The main question that should be asked here is, "What *good purpose* does sexually explicit nudity/profanity serve?" We know this isn't a Constitutional issue because these things have been banned and/or regulated up until the present time, and the Supreme Court has ruled that obscenity doesn't have the same Constitutional protection as other forms of expression.

Are the FCC offices decorated with sexually suggestive photographs or paintings of nude men or women? Do FCC employees use obscene or vulgar language in their inter-office communications or communications outside their offices? My guess is the answer is "no" to both of those questions, and my follow-up would be to ask why? Don't we consider it to be vulgar, offensive and and unprofessional to use profanity in business communication? And wouldn't workers' sensibilities be assaulted by having to view nudity on the walls of the office while they're trying to carry out their business? Would they want to bring their children or grandchildren to the office if they knew the children would be subjected to profanity-laced conversation and sexually explicit nudity when they visited? I don't think so.

Why should American families be subjected to even more profanity and nudity than what is already on the air, both on radio and on television? People who promote this kind of thing as a free speech issue frequently defend their position by saying, "If someone is offended by profanity or nudity, let them change the dial." Well, how is that supposed to work if it's on almost every station and channel? Cable TV abounds in profanity and nudity, so can we not have some place of "refuge" where we can enjoy entertainment that doesn't assault our values?

Will having more profanity and nudity on the airwaves make our culture better? Will young boys and men be more encouraged to view women as persons to respect, rather than sex objects to be used, by seeing more nude women on television? Does profanity help people express themselves in a more intelligent, understandable and respectful manner? No, so please don't change regulations so that we are subjected to even more culturally and morally degrading broadcasting than we already are.

Sincerely,



Joan Summers

28211 Helmsman Knolls Drive  
Katy, Texas 77494

4-15-13

Received & Inspected

APR 22 2013

FCC Mail Room

3410 Olivet Ch. Rd,  
Paducah, KY 42001

Dear Sir:

RE: GN DOCKET NO. 13-86

Please keep egregious indecency remarks  
off of the air waves.

Sincerely

James P. Lewis Sr.

Received & Inspected

APR 22 2013

FCC Mail Room

April 17, 2013

The Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street SW  
Washington, DC 20554

Dear FCC:

I understand you are looking at the possibility of reducing the standards of decency as they now exist.

I am hopeful you will change your mind. The morals of the world are eroding quickly and this will only speed up the corruption that exists at this time.

Please do not reduce the standards of decency as they exist today.

Thank you for hearing my voice.

Fran Christianson  
Hugo, MN

. To The FCC,

Received & Inspected

100 22 2013

FCC Mail Room

I am writing you to let you know how bad it would be on our children and adults alike if you even lift the policy on indecency. I can't believe that you as parents would want such filth piped into your homes. Shame on you for not considering your own children and grandchildren, think about it, money cannot take away the images and memory of what they hear and say. I do not want to have that garbage in my home. If you do I will rid my self from the T.V. network and would encourage others to do the same. And hopefully crush your network and stop the garbage.

Thank-you

Annette Hess

P.O. Box 1643  
Southold, NY 11971

Received & Inspected  
APR 22 2013  
FCC Mail Room

April 13, 2013

Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street S.W.  
Washington, DC 20554

Re: G N Docket # 13-86

To Whom It May Concern:

I oppose any changes to the current FCC indecency standards that would allow television and radio stations to broadcast expletives and nudity on the public airwaves, even if brief or "fleeting."

The Supreme Court has confirmed the FCC's authority to enforce policies regarding expletives and nudity, especially during times when children are likely to be watching or listening.

Relaxing the current policy would not serve the public interest and I urge the FCC to reject all proposals that would allow for the broadcast of expletives and nudity on FCC-licensed stations. Allowing these actions serves no constructive purpose.

Thank you!

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Elaine Panella".

Elaine Panella



Received & Inspected

APR 22 2013

FCC Mail Room

To Whom it may concern:

I ask that the commission please  
not relax the decency standards. We already  
have too much profanity and sex and suggestion  
on the air waves. Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kathy Rees  
1324 S. 13th  
Maywood, Ill. 60153-2424



Don Lyon D.D.  
Senior Pastor

Donna Lyon  
Co-Pastor

Received & Inspected

APR 22 2013

FCC Mail Room

April 11, 2013

Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> St. S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20554

GN Docket No. 13-86

To the FCC,

If you make any changes in your decency laws; I would suggest you tighten them even more, rather than relaxing them. I would rather stay right where we are, rather than invite more indecency which in turn invites more crime and less safety on the streets of our city.

Any nudity, regardless of its intent, excites the weak mind of those who commit the kind of crimes that bring much hurt to our society. I would oppose that in any way.

Sincerely yours,

Don Lyon,  
Apostle

DL/bkv

Received & Inspected  
APR 22 2013  
FCC Mail Room

April 12, 2013

Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> St. S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Sirs:

I am writing regarding the item GN Docket No. 13-86.

I respectfully request that the FCC maintain their current broadcast indecency policies. I find the deliberate and repetitive use of expletives to be offensive. This is more than a case of First Amendment rights. Our children should not be exposed to this language on National television. I am saddened to hear children using those words that they have heard on TV. Our national image is fast going down the proverbial toilet.

I also feel that the Commission should not allow anymore nudity, non-sexual or sexual, on our televisions. Those expressions of nudity do not belong on television that is available to our young people. My grandsons and other young men are being bombarded with images of young women as only sexual objects. We wonder at the rise in sexual attacks.

I encourage you to make the correct choice to maintain the current policies. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,



Darlene Evans  
332 East 6220 South  
Murray, UT 84107

Rev. David H. Jinno

Received & Inspected

APR 22 2013

FCC Mail Room

Federal Communications Commission

From Rev. David Jinno,  
Date/Time 4/13/2013 at 1:26PM  
Subject Public comment on FCC decision to allow the f-word, s-word, and  
nudity on television and radio stations nationwide.

Dear Sirs,

It should be evident to you and everyone in our society that morals have deteriorated greatly over recent decades. I vehemently urge you not to go forward with any plans that further undermine what is left of America's moral integrity by allowing filthy language and female nudity to be exhibited in such a public context. The fact that degenerate behaviors are common practice does not justify their exploitation or acceptance. Accident is one thing, accomodation is quite another.



Fax

Received & Inspected  
APR 22 2013  
FCC Mail Room

April 9, 2013

Donald W. Norman  
1307 Ben Miller Road  
Deep Gap, NC 28618-9510


Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street SW  
Washington, DC 20544

Re: FCC Indecency Standards

Dear Sirs:

I oppose any changes to the current FCC indecency standards that would allow television and radio stations to broadcast expletives and nudity on the public airwaves, even if brief or "fleeting." The Supreme Court has confirmed the FCC's authority to enforce policies regarding expletives and nudity, especially during times when children are likely to be watching or listening. Relaxing the current policy would not serve the public interest and I urge the FCC to reject all proposals that would allow for the broadcast of expletives and nudity on FCC-licensed stations

Sincerely,

  
Donald W Norman

**Dorsi Lessenden**

---

**From:** "Dorsi Lessenden" <dorisl@plainsonline.net>  
**To:** "Doris I Lessenden" <dorisl@plainsonline.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 09, 2013 1:20 PM  
**Subject:** about GN Docket No. 13-86

Received &amp; Inspected

APR 22 2013

To: The FFC Commission, Board, and Staff  
 From: Doris Lessenden

FCC Mail Room

Subject: the GN DOCKET NO. 13-86

I am glad to have the chance to write to you my thoughts about the GN Docket No 13-86.

Since I am very active in my community, school, and pay dues and attend meetings of several groups such as the Chamber of Commerce, Economic Development Foundation, read and attend County Commissioners meetings, City Council, school related organizations and other gatherings, I can speak for most people in our community.

To be specific, I object to having the program "Two and a Half Men" programmed for 9:00 p.m. I can not get to the control soon enough to get the station changed. It is appalling to hear this program and others that so openly talk about sexual topics and even in the presence and with the presence of the boy. Personally, I do not like the expletives. As an educator of over 40 years, I can attest to the fact that so much has changed in kinds of words spoken. And as a result, students follow the example of Leaders such as past USA Presidents who spoke expletives and lies which were heard over the television and radio. I felt and do feel that they thought, "If those famous leaders can talk and act that way, then I can do that too!" And they did and they do.

However, there are some parents in America who are realizing just how disgusting and bad many shows are becoming and they do not allow children to watch television, or they limit their viewing. I do feel this is a good idea. There were more intelligent students we had in our school when I was teaching were the ones who read books and did not view TV.


I have adult friends and have heard of others who chose not to watch the daily TV news (because of TV bias) and some other shows.

Nudity --in statues, that is fine....but I personally do not like to see people going "all the way", I can handle most of that, but I do feel many women show toooooo much of their body.

Recently, I was listening to a beautiful musical concert, either in Washington D.C. or in New York, but it was ruined (for me) by the attractive soloist who spoke the name of Jesus Christ like a curse word!!....I immediately changed the channel.

I meant this to be short...as you see, I do care, but I will spare. You, Please Commission.....Let us care about our children and our society, quit talking and PUSHING the HOMOSEXUAL AGENDA. .  
 THANK YOU,

Doris Lessenden



413 Solon Street  
Gate City, Virginia 24251

Received & Inspected

April 12, 2013

APR 22 2013

Federal Communications Commission  
Consumer Government Affairs Bureau  
Consumer Complaints  
445 12<sup>th</sup> St. S.W.  
Washington, D. C. 20554

FCC Mail Room

Dear Sirs:

I am writing to oppose the lowering of the standards against profanity and nudity--  
procedure 13-86.

We already have too much profanity, nudity, and violence exposing the developing minds  
of our children to less than wholesome and healthy influences. People ARE influenced  
by what they see and hear. If not, inspirational messages would have no impact. But they  
do and so un-inspirational messages. They influence positive or negative behavior.

We, parents and grandparents already have too much to battle in our culture to raise our  
children to be good men and women. Do NOT lower the standards even further!

The discussion SHOULD be:


How can we teach our society and especially our children to value and respect one  
another? It should NOT be, how much more can we lower our standards?

The greater acceptance of filthy language, expletives and derogatory terms encourages  
baser and less creative thinking and language. More nudity increases porn addictions,  
and vicarious violence numbs our children (and some unstable adults) to REAL violence  
against people.

We need help! Not more drifting down roads of lewdness, nudity, and violence.

Thank You for considering my comments.

Sincerely yours,

  
Barbara Dickey

Federal Communications Commission  
Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau  
Consumer Inquiries and Complaints Division  
445 12th St., SW  
Washington, DC 20554.

*April 10, 2013*  
Received & Inspected

APR 22 2013

To Whom this May Concern,

**FCC Mail Room**

People all over this country are trying to find out how to educate our children better and more effectively. We work tirelessly to teach them good values, right from wrong and respect for everyone. Why is the FCC turning a blind eye to the obscene and profane Rover Morning Show that airs as kids are getting ready and going to school? It's offensive to anyone who has respect for another human being. I'm an adult with 3 kids and expect the FCC to regulate this filth.

This is a Complaint against station 100.7 WMMS, Rover Morning Glory Show airing on April 10, 2013 between 6am and 11am. It is a complaint against obscene programming on the Rover Morning Glory show. This is a CBS show. Please investigate and pull this show off the air! It has no redeeming qualities and has no quality. There is no reason for this to be aired. Children are able to listen to the show on the way to school and its harmful.

There have been many complaints against this show and why you haven't taken it down is a complete mystery. Let it move to pay radio if this content is what they believe in. This show does not belong on free radio.

I have heard bits and pieces of this show over time, but always turn the channel. I am disgusted and shocked that the material WMMS chooses to air is found acceptable by the FCC. I have finally had enough; this show is obscene, profane, and should be taken off the air. This station has consistently aired obscene, profane and generally inappropriate material but today was too much. You can simply go to their website and get a replay of the entire show, or request one. The Alan Cox show is another show that airs profane material.

Listen to the replay that started about 8:10 am April 10, 2013.

Rover, Dieter, Charlie, Rob, Doojie – (unclear how to spell the woman's name and could not find it in my research)

I live near Cleveland Ohio. I happened upon 100.7 while looking for music. I didn't remember that it was a bad station. The female DJ – Doojie, was talking to a female caller who was disappointed that she has been talking for some time that she's happy being single and doesn't need to change for a guy but now she's spent \$6000 to have her breasts reduced. The caller felt that Doojie was doing that to attract a man into her life. Well, at that point the whole discussion turned to Doojie's recent breast reduction surgery and a very detailed description of how they cut off her nipples and laid them on a tray. Paraphrasing - They look like an egg when they're cut off. Then they sew them back on and that must look disgusting. They said that she had been to a "Chop Shop" to have the surgery. The female says repeatedly as the men on the show are making comments about how they think the surgery itself and the after surgery issues are disgusting. She says – "well, hey you want to see them? Come on look at my boobs. You want to touch them? You want to see my cans, my creamers? I'll show them to you." So they rig up headphones so Rover can go into another room and see her naked breasts. He says "Can you take your bra off? That's a disgusting ass bra". Rover - "God Damn what's the purple stuff? (her nipples) Jesus Christ – Oh My God – you haven't probably showered since Thursday." She says something like – "This is a Bitch project." She calls him some names like JackAss, etc. They also used the word Shit.

Next segment is a discussion of a dance of a black man that involves a video of Rob dancing with him. They mention multiple "Ball grabs" – part of the way he dances, refers to the black man grabbing his own testicles. Rover - "These guys are just there to bang tourist broads"  
"Rob trying to bang his butt into the black guys' crotch"

Next segment –

Rover – paraphrased - The larger your penis is the more a woman is going to like you. A study came out that says women like larger penises. After 3" flaccid, not erect, you get more points. Our penises are on display for women.  
Doojie - I've been in love with a man with a small penis, he thought it was huge but it was abnormally small.



oojie- "When you see a guy naked how important is the size of his schlong?" Now it's a shout out to his callers -The question is "When you see a guy naked, how important is the Dong?" What about girls? Oh I just want to see their boobs and their badge (vagina) . No faces.

Women want a guy that's huge. Dieter or Rob - "They have something that lifts your sac up to give you more support and then pushes out your schlong"

Some caller calls in and she is talking about having sex with men who have small penises. She said that when she scopes out a guy's penis size it has to be big enough or she pretends she is sick and leaves. It has to be big enough because - "I want it to hurt me a little bit".

Some man calls in to say that the woman caller is correct. He is dating a friend who told him that she wouldn't be having sex with him except for the fact that he has a large penis. He has measured his penis and it is 7-3/4 inches long. Rover comments on how large that is.

Doojie goes on to say that she's had sex with guys who have all kinds of penises – curved, small huge – she's seen it all. Rob or Charlie adds that he has a small penis and was very hurt when girls laughed at his size. Doojie says its because his bush (pubic hair) is so much it dwarfs his penis. Rover says he has to cut his bush.

Had enough yet ?.....

While doing some research on this show I found the article below. This garbage is nothing new. Why are you allowing this show to remain on the air? This must be addressed.

Last April 2012 -

By now, many of you have learned that Dominic Dieter, co-host of "Rover's Morning Glory" on WMMS in Cleveland, told a father to **have his lesbian daughter raped until she goes straight**. We can all agree that Dieter's suggestion is grossly offensive and encourages outright criminal activity. It turns out that Dieter not only may have broken the law himself, but put WMMS' license in immediate danger.

When I went to bed last night, I was thinking, "There is no way in the world that Dieter didn't commit a crime here." So I took a look-see at the Ohio Revised Code, and it looks like that at the very least, that broadcast may have violated **section 2917.01** of the Ohio criminal code, "inciting violence." Unless I'm very wrong here, calling for a person to be raped over the airwaves more than fits that bill. And under that law, since Dieter was encouraging the commission of a felony, he may have committed a felony himself. Somebody needs to get ahold of Cuyahoga County Prosecutor Bill Mason to see if charges can be filed against Dieter for this. **Contact him here**, especially if you're in the Cleveland area. If there is any justice at all, Dieter should be frogmarched out of the studio, or at the very least have police waiting for him when he comes to work or ends his shift.

Also, it turns out that the licenses of all radio stations in Ohio are due to expire on October 1 of this year. Clear Channel is required to send the renewal application by June 1, and the last date to file a petition to deny filing is September 4. Check out the timetable at **the FCC Website**. So Dieter's screed couldn't have possibly come at a worse time. Unless Dieter's head rolls, we have as strong a case as any to get WMMS' license yanked--and possibly the licenses of its stablemates in Clear Channel's Cleveland cluster.

I have to go to work now so I can pay my taxes so that government agencies such as the FCC can protect my children. Please do your job.

It doesn't take much research and investigation to figure out that this is very harmful material being made available to our children during their peak listening hours.

Thank you,

Disgusted tax paying citizen of the USA



Don Lyon D.D.  
Senior Pastor

Donna Lyon  
Co-Pastor

Received & Inspected

APR 22 2013

FCC Mail Room

April 11, 2013

Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> St. S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20554


GN Docket No. 13-86

To the FCC,

If you make any changes in your decency laws; I would suggest you tighten them even more, rather than relaxing them. I would rather stay right where we are, rather than invite more indecency which in turn invites more crime and less safety on the streets of our city.

Any nudity, regardless of its intent, excites the weak mind of those who commit the kind of crimes that bring much hurt to our society. I would oppose that in any way.

Sincerely yours,



Don Lyon,  
Apostle

DL/bkv



Don Lyon D.D.  
Senior Pastor

Donna Lyon  
Co-Pastor

Received & Inspected  
APR 22 2013  
FCC Mail Room

April 11, 2013

Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> St. S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20554


GN Docket No. 13-86

To the FCC,

If you make any changes in your decency laws; I would suggest you tighten them even more, rather than relaxing them. I would rather stay right where we are, rather than invite more indecency which in turn invites more crime and less safety on the streets of our city.

Any nudity, regardless of its intent, excites the weak mind of those who commit the kind of crimes that bring much hurt to our society. I would oppose that in any way.

Sincerely yours,



Don Lyon,  
Apostle

DL/bkv



Don Lyon D.D.  
Senior Pastor

Donna Lyon  
Co-Pastor

Received & Inspected

APR 22 2013

FCC Mail Room

April 11, 2013

Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> St. S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20554


GN Docket No. 13-86

To the FCC,

If you make any changes in your decency laws; I would suggest you tighten them even more, rather than relaxing them. I would rather stay right where we are, rather than invite more indecency which in turn invites more crime and less safety on the streets of our city.

Any nudity, regardless of its intent, excites the weak mind of those who commit the kind of crimes that bring much hurt to our society. I would oppose that I any way.

Sincerely yours,



Don Lyon,  
Apostle

DL/bkv